



# Article Exact Treatment of the Ground States of Three Two-Dimensional Contact Interactions in a Uniform Magnetic Field

Mervyn Lawrence Glasser

Department of Theoretical Physics, Universidad de Valladolid, 47011 Valladolid, Spain; laryg@tds.net

**Abstract:** The Green function for an electron confined to a plane in the presence of a uniform perpendicular magnetic field is derived and is decorated by several modified Dirac delta functions (MDF). In each case the ground state energy is examined as a function of the field strength, the spacing and the coupling constant of the MDFs. The results suggest that the magnetic field is ionising and the strength of the MDFs must attain a field-dependent critical value in order that a bound state be formed. This offers a new perspective on the question of whether a static magnetic field can be ionising.

Keywords: contact interaction; Green function; magnetic field



Citation: Glasser, M.L. Exact Treatment of the Ground States of Three Two-Dimensional Contact Interactions in a Uniform Magnetic Field. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 489. https://doi.org/10.3390/ sym14030489

Academic Editors: Fabio Rinaldi, Silvestro Fassari and Sergei D. Odintsov

Received: 14 November 2021 Accepted: 23 February 2022 Published: 28 February 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to propose an exactly solvable quantum-mechanical model based on contact interactions in accordance with the subject of this issue of *Symmetry*. To this end, we consider a particle in the presence of three static attractive modified two-dimensional Dirac delta functions (MDF) in a uniform magnetic field perpendicular to the plane formed by the MDFs. We examine the behaviour of the ground-state energy of the particle with respect to the strength of the MDF (assumed to be the same for all) and their configuration.

We consider the contact interactions introduced by Horing et al. [1,2] who have examined various energy levels of a pair of dissimilar MDF subject to uniform magnetic fields. They call their contact potentials "quantum dots", although this term generally refers to the more realistic Fock–Darwin parabolic model [3,4]. To avoid confusion we will not use their term. Furthermore, their analysis is based on truncating a slowly convergent series representation of the exact Green function used here. For completeness, we shall also investigate the one and two MDF models.

To introduce the modified contact interaction, the zero field case is treated next for a single "MDF" (the two "MDF" case is examined in the Appendix A) More detailed information can be found in [5].

The Schroedinger equation for the Hamiltonian  $p^2/2m - \lambda \delta(\vec{r})$  in momentum space has the solution

$$\hat{\psi}(p) = \frac{2m\lambda}{\hbar^2} \psi(0) [p^2 + \kappa^2]^{-1}, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{-2mE}/\hbar > 0$$
 (1)

Thus,

$$\psi(r) = \frac{2m\lambda}{\hbar^2}\psi(0)\int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}}}{k^2 + \kappa^2} = \frac{m\lambda}{\pi\hbar^2}\psi(0)K_0(\kappa r)$$
(2)

Now, to determine  $\kappa$  one should set r = 0 and cancel  $\psi(0)$  to obtain a transcendental equation for  $\kappa$ , but this leads to  $\psi(r) = 0$  or  $\infty$ . To obtain sensible results we replace 0 by the cut-off *a* giving the transcendental equation

$$1 = \frac{m\lambda}{\pi\hbar^2} K_0(\kappa a) \tag{3}$$

Setting  $a = 5\sqrt{2}$ , say, for a MDF radius of roughly 6 Bohr units, gives the bound state energies shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** Single MDF:  $\kappa$  vs.  $\lambda$  for B = 0, (atomic units).

Similarly, the zero field  $\kappa$  vs  $\lambda$  relation for two MDFs, given by (A5) (see Appendix A) is shown in Figure 2 for  $a = 2\sqrt{2}$  and separation distance d = 9.



**Figure 2.** Two MDFs:  $\kappa$  vs.  $\lambda$  for B = 0,  $a = 2\sqrt{2}$ , d = 9 (atomic units).

In the next section we work out a convenient form of the Green function for a charged particle in a plane subject only to a uniform perpendicular magnetic field  $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$ . In Section 3 this is applied to obtain the ground state equation for such a system decorated by *N* identical "fuzzy" delta functions, which is then solved for N = 1, 2, 3 such quantum MDFs in various configurations. The note ends with a brief discussion of the results.

#### 2. Green Function

The Green function for an electron, in two- and three-dimensions, in a uniform magnetic field  $\vec{B} = B\hat{z} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$  is closely related to the solution of the Sturm–Liouville problem for the Harmonic Oscillator solved in the 1850s and summarised briefly by Titchmarsh [6] in 1946. The result has been re-derived many times by a variety of techniques; a representative

sample of these is contained in references [7–12]. We, next, present a brief derivation of the two-dimensional case g, ( $\vec{r} = (x, y)$ ), in a form convenient for quantising fields in the *z*-direction.

For an electron system with energy levels  $\{\alpha\}$  the resolvent operator is  $(\hbar = 2m = 1)$ 

$$G = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|}{w-\alpha} \tag{4}$$

Translation by the arbitrary vector  $\vec{v}$  corresponds to the operator  $T_v = e^{i\vec{v}\cdot\vec{P}}$  where, in a magnetic field  $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$ ,  $\vec{P} = \vec{p} + e\vec{A}$  (in the symmetric gauge  $\vec{A} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B} \times \vec{r}$ ). Thus

$$T_v F(\vec{r}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i\vec{v}\cdot(\vec{B}\times\vec{r})}F(\vec{r}+\vec{v}).$$
(5)

Since  $T_{\vec{v}}$  commutes with the Hamiltonian for a free particle, the Green function obeys

 $G(\vec{r},\vec{r}';w) =$ 

$$\langle \vec{r} | G | \vec{r}' \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\langle \vec{r} | T_v | \alpha \rangle \langle |\alpha| T_v | \vec{r}' \rangle}{w - \alpha} = e^{i \vec{B} \cdot \vec{V} \times (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')} \langle \vec{r} + \vec{v} | G | \vec{r}' + \vec{v} \rangle \tag{6}$$

Next, choose  $\vec{v} = -\vec{r}'$  so in a magnetic field (with  $\vec{\rho} = \vec{r} - \vec{r}'$ ),

$$\langle \vec{r}|G|\vec{r}'\rangle = e^{\frac{1}{2}i\vec{B}\cdot\vec{r}\times\vec{r}'}\langle \vec{\rho}|G|0\rangle \tag{7}$$

Suppose we change  $\vec{A} \rightarrow \vec{A} + \nabla \phi$ . Then, in the new gauge the Green function becomes

$$\langle \vec{r} | e^{ie\phi(\vec{r})} G e^{-ie\phi(\vec{r}')} | \vec{r}' \rangle = e^{i[\phi(\vec{r}) - \phi(\vec{r}'])} \langle \vec{r} | G | \vec{r}' \rangle = e^{i\frac{1}{2}\vec{B} \cdot (\vec{r} \times \vec{r}')} e^{i[\phi(\vec{r}) - \phi(\vec{r}')]} \langle \vec{\rho} | G | 0 \rangle \tag{8}$$

i.e.,

$$G(\vec{r},\vec{r}';E) = C(\vec{r},\vec{r}')g(\vec{\rho}';E)$$
(9)

where the so-called Peierls factor *C* is unimodular and *g* is gauge invariant. Since *C* cancels out in what follows, we omit it and use the symmetric gauge. The cyclotron frequency  $\omega_0 = 2eB/c$  serves as a field strength parameter.

We start with the well-known Green equation

$$\left\{-\nabla^2 - ieB(y\partial_x - x\partial_y) + \frac{1}{4}e^2B^2(x^2 + y^2) - E\right\}G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}'; E) = -\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}'), \quad (10)$$

where, in the causal variant, *E* has a positive infinitesimal imaginary part, and after introducing  $\xi = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')^2$ , find the inhomogeneous confluent hypergeometric equation  $(\omega = E/\omega_0)$ 

$$\left\{\xi\partial_{\xi}^{2} + \partial_{\xi} - \frac{1}{4}\xi + \omega\right\}g(\xi;\omega) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\delta(\xi).$$
(11)

whose homogeneous solution is

$$[A_{1\ 1}F_1(1/2-\omega;1;\xi) + A_2U(1/2-\omega;1;\xi)]e^{-\xi/2}.$$
(12)

The method of undetermined coefficients next yields,

$$g(\xi;\omega) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\sec(\pi\omega)}{\Gamma\left(\omega + \frac{1}{2}\right)} W_{\omega,0}(\xi), \qquad (13)$$

where the identity

$$U\left(\frac{1}{2} - w, 1, s\right) = \frac{e^{s/2}W_{w,0}(s)}{\sqrt{s}}$$
(14)

#### 3. Calculation

As introduced in Section 1, a point quantum MDF can be modelled by the contact potential  $V(\vec{r}) = -\lambda \delta(\vec{r})$ , but to avoid divergences and to simulate a real dot we introduces a minimum radius  $\xi_0 = 2a^2/\omega$  by imposing  $g(0;\omega) = g(\xi_0;\omega)$ . As representative MDF radii of about 3.7 Bohr units, we have set  $a = 2\sqrt{2}$  and for an attractive quantum MDF,  $\lambda > 0$ .

The Green function for *N* MDFs in a magnetic field can be worked out exactly [14], but is quite complicated. Fortunately, we only require its denominators  $D_N$  whose smallest real roots correspond to the ground state energies. (For N = 1, 2, 3, the complete expressions have been given in [2,14]). The eigenvalue equations are, for a single MDF

$$\alpha(a) = \beta \tag{15}$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{a\sqrt{8\omega_0}}{\lambda}$$

$$\alpha(c) = \frac{\sec(\pi\omega)}{\Gamma(\omega + \frac{1}{2})} W_{\omega,0}(\frac{1}{2}\omega_0 c^2)$$
(16)

The solutions are shown in Figure 3



**Figure 3.** Single MDF:  $\omega$  vs.  $\lambda$  for  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $a = 2\sqrt{2}$ .

For two MDFs a Distance *d* Apart

The ground state is the lower root of the two equations

$$\alpha(a) \pm \frac{a}{d} \alpha(d) = \beta.$$
(17)

The ground state energy is shown in Figures 4 and 5 for two MDFs separated by  $9a_0$  in a weak field ( $\omega_0 = 1$ ) and in Figure 6 for  $\omega_0 = 3$ , d = 9. Figure 7 also shows below.



**Figure 4.** Single MDF:  $\omega$  vs.  $Log(\lambda)$  for  $\omega_0 = 3$ ,  $a = 2\sqrt{2}$ .



**Figure 5.** Two MDFs:  $\omega$  vs.  $\lambda$  for  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $a = 5\sqrt{2}$ , d = 9 Bohr units.



**Figure 6.** Two MDFs:  $\omega$  vs.  $\lambda$  for  $\omega_0 = 3$ ,  $a = 5\sqrt{2}$ , d = 9 Bohr units.

In the case of an equilateral triangle of side *d* the eigenvalue equation can be written

$$3A(\lambda,\omega)\{1 - A(\lambda,\omega)[1 - \rho^{2}(\omega)] + \frac{1}{3}A^{2}(\lambda,\omega)[1 - \rho^{3}(\omega)]\} = 1,$$
(18)

with

$$A(\lambda,\omega) = \frac{\lambda}{a\sqrt{8\omega_0}} \frac{\sec(\pi\omega)}{\Gamma(\omega+1/2)} W_{\omega,0}(\omega_0 a^2/2)$$
(19)

$$\rho(\omega) = \frac{aW_{\omega,0}(\omega_0 d^2/2)}{dW_{\omega,0}(\omega_0 a^2/2)}$$
(20)



**Figure 7.** Triangle:  $\omega$  vs.  $\lambda$  for  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $a = 2\sqrt{2}$ , d = 9.

Finally, for three MDFs in a Row with Separation *d* one has the eigenvalue equation

$$3A(\lambda,\omega)\{1 - A(\lambda,\omega[1 - \frac{2}{3}\rho^{2}(\omega)] - \frac{1}{3}A^{2}(\lambda,\omega)[1 + \rho^{2}(\omega)\sigma(\omega)]\} = 1,$$
(21)

where

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{aW_{\omega,0}(2d^2\omega_0)}{dW_{\omega,0}(a^2\omega_0/2)}.$$
(22)

### 4. Conclusions

The first striking result of this calculation is the loss of the bound state unless the magnitude of the coupling constant  $\lambda$  exceeds a critical value, which is zero if the field strength is zero. This magnetic ionisation effect. is a feature of the  $\lambda$ -dependence of the ground state for all the configurations. The second is to note from Figures 8 and 9 that

for a row of MDFs the effect of Landau quantisation is evident, but not in the triangular case. Additionally, it is hard to account for the suppression of the ground state energy for very small  $\lambda$  seen in Figure 9. It will be interesting to see if these features are preserved for a more realistic Quantum Dot model, such as the Fock–Darwin harmonic system. Figures 10 and 11, below, show the value of  $\lambda$  below which the bound state of a single MDF is ionised vs the cyclotron frequency. The dependence of the field on  $\lambda_c$  is logarithmic. The values for all the configurations considered are identical.

The only previous study that has been made for two "MDFs" is [6] where the coupling constants, expressed in terms of well-depth, are allowed to be different. The authors of [6] have examined a number of excited states as well, but the results are insufficiently systematic to allow comparison with this work or to observe regularities. In addition, they use an approximate Green function obtained by truncating a slowly convergent series, which has been summed exactly in this work.



**Figure 8.** Triangle:  $\omega$  vs.  $\lambda$  for  $\omega_0 = 3$ ,  $a = 2\sqrt{2}$ , d = 9.



**Figure 9.** Three in row:  $\omega$  vs.  $\lambda$  for  $\omega_0 = 1 a = 2\sqrt{2}, d = 9$ .



**Figure 10.** Three in row:  $\omega$  vs.  $\lambda$  for  $\omega_0 = 3 a = 2\sqrt{2}$ , d = 9.



**Figure 11.**  $\lambda_c$  vs.  $\omega_0$  for all configurations.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: ot applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: I thank N.M.J. Horing for comments and advice. This work was supported in part by the Spanish MICINN grant PID2020-113406GB-100.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Appendix A

For the ground state of two MDFs separated by  $\vec{d} = d\hat{x}$  the Schroedinger equation in momentum space is

$$(k^2 + \kappa^2)\hat{\phi}(k) = \lambda[\psi(a) + e^{-\iota k_x d}\psi(d)], \tag{A1}$$

 $\kappa = \sqrt{-E}$  and  $a \ll d$  is the minimal length. Thus,

$$\psi(a) = \lambda[\psi(a)F(0,0) + \psi(d)F(d,0)] \tag{A2}$$

$$\psi(d) = \lambda[\psi(a)F[0,0] + \psi(d)F[0,0]$$
(A3)

with

$$F(c,0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_x}{2\pi} e^{ik_x x} \frac{e^{-ik_x c}}{k_x^2 + k_t^2 + \kappa^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(\kappa |x - c|)$$
(A4)

Consistency of (A2) gives

$$\left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} K_0(\kappa a)\right]^2 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^2 K_0(\kappa a) K_0(\kappa d) = 0$$
(A5)

Solving for  $\lambda$  yields

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta} [1 - \sqrt{R}]$$
  
$$\Delta = K_0(\kappa a) - K_0(\kappa d), \quad R = K_0(\kappa d) / K_0(\kappa a).$$
(A6)

#### References

- 1. Horing, N.J.M. Quantum Statistical Field Theory; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2017; Section 12.4.
- Horing, N.J.M.; Mancini, J.D.; Horton, S.L. Landau Quantized Dynamics and Energy Spectra of Asymmetric Double-Quantum- MDF Systems: (a) Non-Relativistic Electrons; (b) Dirac T-3 Diced Lattice Carriers", Chapter 13 in "Progress in Nanoscale and Low Dimensional Materials and Devices; Springer Nature Series "Topics in Applied Physics"; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, in press.
- 3. Harrison, P. Quantum Wells, Wires and Dots, 3rd ed.; John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2009.
- 4. Jacak, L.; Hawrylak, P.; Wois, A. Quantum MDFs; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1998.
- 5. Albeverio, S. Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1988.
- 6. Titchmarsh, E.C. Eigenvalue Expansions; Clarendon University Press: Oxford, UK, 1946; Section 4.2.
- 7. Sondheimer, E.H.; Wilson, A.H. The Diamagnetism of Free Electrons. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 1951, 210, 173–190.
- Glasser, M.L.Summation over Feynman Histories: Charged Particle in a Uniform Magnetic Field. *Phys. Rev.* 1964, 133, B831. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ueta, T. Green Function of a Charged Particle in Magnetic Fields. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1992, 61, 4314–4324. [CrossRef]

- 10. Kubo, R.; Mitake, S.J.; Hashitsume, N. *Solid State Physics*; Seitz, F., Turnbull, D., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1965; Volume 17, p. 362.
- 11. Horing, N.J.M. Quantum Statistical Field Theory; Oxford Science Publications: Oxford, UK, 2017; Chapter 5.
- 12. Cresti, A.; Grosso, G.; Parravincini, G.P. Analytic and Numeric Green Functions for a Two-Dimensional Electron Gas in an Orthogonal Magnetic Field. *Ann. Phys.* **2006**, *321*, 1075–1091. [CrossRef]
- 13. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*; NBS Appl. Math. Series 55; Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I., Eds.; Forgotten Books: Washington, DC, USA, 1964; p. 504.
- 14. Glasser, M.L. A Note on the Exact Green Function for a Quantum System Decorated by Impurities. *Front. Phys.* **2019**, *7*, 7. [CrossRef]