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ABSTRACT

We implement a SU(1, 1) covariant integral quantization of functions on the unit disk. The latter can be viewed as the phase space for the motion of a "massive" test particle on (1+1)-anti-de Sitter space-time, and the relevant unitary irreducible representations of SU(1, 1) corresponding to the quantum version of such motions are found in the discrete series and its lower limit. Our quantization method depends on the choice of a weight function on the phase space in such a way that different weight functions yield different quantizations. For instance, the Perelomov coherent states quantization is derived from a particular choice. Semi-classical portraits or lower symbols of main physically relevant operators are determined, and the statistical meaning of the weight function is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The group SU(1, 1), which is the two-fold covering of SO_0(2, 1), can be interpreted as the kinematical group for the $(1 + 1)$-anti-de-Sitter space-time. The unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ in the complex plane can be viewed as the phase space for the motion of an elementary system in this space-time [for the realistic $(1 + 3)$-anti-de-Sitter space-time with its kinematical group $SO_0(2, 3)$ and the relevant phase space $SO_0(2, 3)/SO(2) \times SO(3)$, see Ref. 5 and references therein]. Therefore, it is appealing to carry out a comprehensive program of quantization of functions (or distributions) on the unit disk by using all resources of covariant integral quantization as it is defined, for instance, in Refs. 6–9 (and references therein). This program also includes the semi-classical return to the original phase space through the construction of the so-called lower [10] or covariant [11,12] symbols. The latter has a true probabilistic interpretation when the integral quantization is based on normalized positive operator valued measures (POVM) the latter acronym being used for normalized POVM as well.

Of course, due to the basic nature of the model, one can find in the literature different quantization methods which have been or could be applied to the unit disk. Besides the seminal Berezin’s contributions,[11,12] where the quantization of the unit disk is carried out through the notions of covariant and contravariant symbols built from SU(1, 1) coherent states in the Perelomov sense,[13] there is the well-known Kirillov–Kostant–Souriau orbit method; see, for instance, Ref. 14 for a comprehensive review, and its parent, the geometric quantization.[15,16] Deformation quantization[17] is another popular method which has been applied to the unit disk in Ref. 18, where a non-commutative $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebra from the algebra of the continuous function on the closed unit disk is obtained. For a general review of various quantization methods, see, for instance, Ref. 19.

To some extent, the approach followed in the present work pertains to the Berezin–Toeplitz quantization for Kähler manifolds,[20–22] the unit disk being one of the simplest models. In a nutshell, the Berezin–Toeplitz quantization of a symplectic manifold $M$ with Kähler structure maps functions on $M$ to operators in the Hilbert space of square-integrable holomorphic sections of an appropriate complex line bundle. Denoting by $\Pi$ the orthogonal projection operator from the space of all square-integrable sections to the holomorphic subspace, for any bounded measurable function $f$, one constructs the Toeplitz operator $A_f$ with symbol $f$, acting on the space of holomorphic sections, as $A_f \phi = \Pi(f \phi)$. That is, $A_f \phi$ consists of multiplication by $f$ followed by projection back into the holomorphic subspace. This quantization map may be thought of as a generalization of anti-Wick-ordered quantization. The specificity and the novelty of our contribution are to...
be considered at various levels. First, we consider manifolds which are symmetric spaces derived from Cartan decomposition $G = PK$ of arbitrary semi-simple Lie groups, and we introduce a family of quantization projectors $\Pi$ derived from weight functions defined on the Cartan subalgebra $A \subset P$. Then, we apply the derived $G$-covariant integral quantization to the elementary case $G = SU(1,1)$, for which the considered manifold is precisely Kählerian. While the Berezin approach\cite{11,12} to this example corresponds to a specific choice of the weight function, our article contains a set of original results due to the arbitrariness of the weight function. Moreover, the $SU(1,1)$ example displays a rich set of properties which can be generalized to many Lie groups.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Sections II and III are a reminder of a known material about $SU(1,1)$ as it can be found in a classical treatise in group representation theory like Ref. 23 or in the more recent Ref. 7. In Sec. II, we describe the geometry of the unit disk, viewed, respectively, as a Kählerian manifold in Subsection II A, as a left coset of $SU(1,1)$ in Subsection II B, with group action in the usual way, and, in Subsection II C, as the phase space for the motion of a test particle in $(1+1)$-anti-de Sitter space-time, with the identification of the three basic observables with $SU(1,1)$ generators. In Subsection II D, we complete these geometric and algebraic aspects with the description of the $(1+1)$-AdS space-time, which can be identified with a left coset of $SU(1,1)$. Section III is devoted to some representations of $SU(1,1)$ relevant to our purposes. In Subsection III A, we give a concise description of the discrete series (in a wide sense) of representations of $SU(1,1)$ as acting on Fock–Bargmann Hilbert spaces of holomorphic functions in the unit disk, and in Subsection III C, of their generators as first-order differential operators on these functions. In Sec. IV, we give a self-contained description of the framework of covariant integral quantization when it is associated with a unitary irreducible representation (UIR) of a Lie group in Subsection IV A, and with a square-integrable UIR in Subsection IV B. (For more details and examples, see, for instance, Ref. 8 and references therein.) Then, in Subsection IV C, we implement this method with the cost set issued from the Cartan decomposition of the group and with the introduction of a weight function defined on a certain submanifold of the Cartan symmetric space. We also involve in the construction a parity operator as the representative in the UIR carrier Hilbert space of the Cartan involution. Together with the fact that different admissible weight functions lead to different quantizations, these features constitute an original aspect of the present work. In Sec. V, we apply the above formalism to the group $SU(1,1)$, its discrete series, and the unit disk corresponding to the Cartan symmetric space, and we examine the outcomes when a particular family of weights is considered. In Subsection V C, we recover results obtained by other authors for specific weights of this family. We then proceed in Sec. VI with the quantization of functions on the AdS phase space (i.e., classical observables) and study the dependence of their respective quantized versions on the choice of the weight function, noticing that for the most basic ones, there is no or trivial dependence. Section VII is devoted to the lower symbols of operators issued from our covariant integral quantization. This amounts to give semi-classical portraits, in general, more regular, of the original function, together with a probabilistic interpretation when the weight function is suitably chosen. In Sec. VIII, we conclude by commenting some aspects of our work amenable to further interesting developments. In the Appendix, some useful integral formulas involving Jacobi polynomials are given.

Most of our approaches should be justified on a mathematical level with regard to involved functions. Nevertheless, many of our results are given with implicit assumptions on their validity for the sake of simplicity. Throughout the text we use for convenience the shortened notation $f(z)$ in place of $f(z,\bar{z})$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, at the difference of Berezin in Refs. 11 and 12.

II. GEOMETRY OF THE UNIT DISK AND ITS SYMMETRY

A. The unit disk as a Kählerian manifold

The unit disk

$$\mathcal{D} \overset{def}{=} \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$$

is one of the four two-dimensional Kählerian manifolds,\cite{13,24} the other ones being, respectively, the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, the sphere $S^2$, or equivalently the projective complex line $\mathbb{CP}^1$, and the torus $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}_2 \sim S^1 \times S^1$. It is equipped of the (Poincaré) metric,

$$\text{d}s^2 = \frac{\text{d}z \text{d}\bar{z}}{(1-|z|^2)^2}.$$ 

The corresponding surface element is given by the two-form,

$$\Omega = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\text{d}z \wedge \text{d}\bar{z}}{(1-|z|^2)^2} = \frac{\text{d}(\Re z) \text{d}(\Im z)}{(1-|z|^2)^2} \equiv \frac{\text{d}^2 z}{(1-|z|^2)^2} \equiv \mu(\text{d}^2 z).$$ 

(1)

These quantities are both issued from a Kählerian potential $\mathcal{K}$,

$$\mathcal{K}(z,\bar{z}) \overset{def}{=} \pi^{-1} (1-|z|^2)^{-2},$$

$$\text{d}s^4 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \ln \mathcal{K}(z,\bar{z}) \text{d}z \text{d}\bar{z},$$

$$\mu(\text{d}^2 z) = \frac{i}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \ln \mathcal{K}(z,\bar{z}) \text{d}^2 z \wedge \text{d}\bar{z}.$$ 

(2)
B. The unit disk as a coset of SU(1, 1)

Let us start by recalling the essential definitions and notations for the simple Lie group SU(1, 1) and its Lie algebra,

\[
\text{SU}(1, 1) = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \det g = |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}.
\]

The three basis elements of the Lie algebra \( \text{su}(1, 1) \) are chosen as

\[
N_0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

with the commutation relations

\[
[N_0, N_1] = N_2, \quad [N_0, N_2] = -N_1, \quad [N_1, N_2] = -N_0.
\]

The Cartan factorization of SU(1, 1) is associated with the (Cartan) involution

\[
i_{ph} : g \mapsto (g^\dagger)^{-1}.
\]

The maximal compact subgroup \( H = U(1) \) is determined by \( i_{ph}(g) = g \), whereas the condition \( i_{ph}(g) = g^{-1} \) selects the subset \( P \) of Hermitian matrices in SU(1, 1). The factorization \( \text{SU}(1, 1) = PH \) reads explicitly

\[
\text{SU}(1, 1) \ni g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = p(z) h(\theta),
\]

with

\[
p(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & \delta z \\ \delta \bar{z} & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta = |\alpha| = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2}, \quad z = \beta \bar{\alpha}^{-1},
\]

and

\[
h(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \equiv 2 \arg \alpha, \quad 0 \leq \theta < 4\pi.
\]

The bundle section \( D \ni z \mapsto p(z) \in P \) gives the unit disk \( D \) a symmetric space realization identified as the coset space \( \text{SU}(1, 1)/H \). Note that

\[
p^2 = gg^\dagger = (p(z))^{-1} = p(-z).
\]

The Haar measure (see, for instance, Ref. 23) on the unimodular group \( \text{SU}(1, 1) \) corresponding to the above Cartan factorization reads

\[
d_{\text{haar}}(g) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} d\theta.
\]

It is normalized for the angular parts.

The Cartan factorization allows us to make \( \text{SU}(1, 1) \) act on \( D \) through a left action on the set of matrices \( p(z) \),

\[
g : p(z) \mapsto p(z') \quad \text{with} \quad g p(z) = p(z') h',
\]

where \( z' \equiv g.z \) is given by the map

\[
D \ni z \mapsto z' = (\alpha z + \beta) (\bar{\beta} z + \bar{\alpha})^{-1} \in D,
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow z = (\bar{\alpha} z' - \beta) (\bar{\beta} z' + \alpha)^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot z',
\]

and \( h' \) is the following element in \( U(1) \),

\[
\]
Now, there are 3 basic observables generating the SU(1, 1) symmetry on this classical level, which are consistent with (4). As is expected, the two combinations

\[ k_0(z) = \frac{1 + |z|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad k_1(z) = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad k_2(z) = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{1 - |z|^2}. \]  

They are not independent since

\[ k_0^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 = 1, \tag{11} \]

i.e., the 3-vector \((k_0, k_1, k_2)\) points to the upper sheet \(\mathcal{H}^+\) of the two-sheeted hyperboloid in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) which is described by (11), and whose stereographic projection through (10) is the open unit disk. This projection reads

\[ \mathcal{H}^+ \ni (k_0, k_1, k_2) \mapsto z = \frac{k_2 + ik_1}{1 + k_0} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{k_0 - 1}{k_0 + 1}} e^{i \arg z}. \]

They obey the Poisson commutation rules

\[ \{k_0, k_1\} = k_2, \quad \{k_0, k_2\} = -k_1, \quad \{k_1, k_2\} = -k_0, \]

which are consistent with (4). As is expected, the two combinations

\[ k_+ = k_2 - ik_1 = \frac{2z}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad k_- = k_2 + ik_1 = \frac{2\bar{z}}{1 - |z|^2}, \]

are to play an important role as well.

Under the action of \(g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \overline{\beta} & \overline{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SU}(1, 1)\), functions \(k_0\) and \(k_+\) transform as

\[ k'_0(z) = k_0(g^{-1} \cdot z) = (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) k_0(z) - 2\alpha \overline{\beta} k_0(z), \]

\[ k'_1(z) = k_1(g^{-1} \cdot z) = 2\alpha \overline{\beta} k_0(z) + \alpha^2 k_+(z) + \beta^2 k_-(z), \]

\[ k'_2(z) = k_2(g^{-1} \cdot z) = -2\alpha \overline{\beta} k_0(z) + \alpha^2 k_-(z) + \beta^2 k_+(z), \tag{12} \]
Now, by considering compact hyperbolic type, $\epsilon$ belongs to $U(1)$, the maximal compact subgroup, with $g$ belonging to subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. Their respective generators $N_a, a = 0, 1, 2$, are precisely those introduced in (3),

$$h(\theta) = e^{i N}, \quad s(u) = e^{N}, \quad l(v) = e^{N}.$$ 

The factorization (17) is associated with the group involution

$$i : g \mapsto g^t,$$

where the superscript $t$ denotes transposition. Indeed,

$$h^t = h, \quad s^t = s, \quad f^t = f^{-1}.$$ 

Now, by considering

$$j(\theta, u) = h(\theta) s(u) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i \theta/2} \cosh \frac{u}{2} & e^{i \theta/2} \sinh \frac{u}{2} \\ e^{-i \theta/2} \sinh \frac{u}{2} & e^{-i \theta/2} \cosh \frac{u}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

we have
\[ jj' = g g' = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha e^y & \beta e^{-y} \\ \beta e^y & \alpha e^{-y} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cosh u & \sinh u \\ \sinh u & \cosh u \end{array} \right) \]

The parameters \((\theta, u)\) form a system of global coordinates for the \((1 + 1)\)-anti-de Sitter space-time visualized as the one-sheeted hyperboloid \(\eta_{ab} y^a y^b = \kappa^2\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) with metric \((\eta_{ab}) = \text{diag}(+, +, -)\), \(a, b = 2, 0, 1, \) and with curvature \(\kappa\),

\[
\begin{align*}
  y^2 &= \kappa^{-1} \cos u \cos \theta, \\
  y^0 &= \kappa^{-1} \cos u \sin \theta, \\
  y^1 &= \kappa^{-1} \sin u,
\end{align*}
\]
or expressed in terms of the element of SU(1, 1),

\[
jj' = \left( \begin{array}{cc} ky'_1 & ky'_1 \end{array} \right) = \Gamma(y),
\]
such that \(y_n = y^2 \mp iy^0\), \(\kappa^2 \det \Gamma(y) = \eta_{ab} y^a y^b = \kappa^{-2}\).

The factorization \(g = jl\), which means on the group level \(\text{SU}(1, 1) = \text{AdS} \times \text{Lorentz}\), allows us to view the AdS space-time as the left coset \(\text{SU}(1, 1)/L\), with \(L = \{ I(v), v \in \mathbb{R} \} \sim \text{SO}(1, 1)\) being the orthochronous Lorentz subgroup. SU(1, 1) acts on the set of matrices \(\Gamma(y)\), and this action is induced from its left action on the set of matrices \(j\),

\[
g : j \mapsto j', \quad gi = j' \quad \iff \quad \Gamma(y) = j'j'' = g\Gamma(y)g^t = g((j)g^t.
\]

In this interpretation, SU(1, 1) acts as the double covering of the actual AdS group \(\text{SO}(2, 1) = \text{SU}(1, 1)/\mathbb{Z}_2\), and we see that \(N_0\) generates the “translations in time” corresponding to \(U(1)\), \(N_1\) generates the “translations in space” corresponding to the subgroup \(\text{SO}(1, 1)\), and \(N_2\) generates the Lorentz transformations corresponding to the other \(\text{SO}(1, 1) = L\).

It is instructive to describe how the three basic observables \(k_a, a = 0, 1, 2\) (or \(k_0, k_\pm\)), transform under the action of three subgroups with respective generators \(N_a, a = 0, 1, 2\),

\[
\begin{align*}
  h(\theta) : \left\{ \\
  k_0 &\mapsto k_0, \\
  k_\pm &\mapsto e^{i\eta \theta} k_\pm
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
  s(u) : \left\{ \\
  k_0 &\mapsto \cosh u k_0 - \sinh u k_2, \\
  k_1 &\mapsto k_1, \\
  k_2 &\mapsto - \sinh u k_0 + \cosh u k_2, \\
  k_0 &\mapsto \cosh v k_0 - \sinh v k_1, \\
  k_1 &\mapsto - \sinh v k_0 + \cosh v k_1, \\
  k_2 &\mapsto k_2.
\end{align*}
\]

III. SU(1, 1) REPRESENTATION(S)

A. SU(1, 1) unitary irreducible representation(s) (discrete series)

For a given \(\eta > 1/2\), consider the Fock–Bargmann Hilbert space \(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_\eta\) of all analytic functions \(f(z)\) on \(\mathbb{D}\) that are square integrable with respect to the scalar product,

\[
\langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle = \frac{2\eta}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} f_1(z) f_2(z) (1 - |z|^2)^{2\eta - 2} \, d^2 z.
\]  

(18)

An orthonormal basis is made of powers of \(z\) suitably normalized,

\[
c_n(z) \equiv \frac{(2\eta)_n}{n!} z^n \quad \text{with} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]  

(19)

where \((2\eta)_n := \Gamma(2\eta + n)/\Gamma(2\eta)\) is the Pochhammer symbol. For \(\eta = 1, 3/2, 2, 5/2, \ldots\), one defines the UIR \(g = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{array} \right) \mapsto U(g)\) of SU(1, 1) on \(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{B}_\eta\) by
\[ \mathcal{FB}_\eta \triangleright f(z) \mapsto (U^\eta(g) f)(z) = (-\tilde{\beta} \bar{z} + \alpha)^{-2\eta} f \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}z - \tilde{\beta}}{-\tilde{\beta} \bar{z} + \alpha} \right). \] (20)

In particular, for \( g = p(z') \), we have
\[ (U^\eta(p(z')) f)(z) = (1 - |z'|^2)^\eta (1 - z \bar{z}')^{-2\eta} f \left( \frac{z - z'}{1 - z \bar{z}'} \right). \]

This countable set of representations constitutes the “almost complete” holomorphic discrete series of representations of SU(1,1). Almost complete” because the lowest one, \( \eta = 1/2 \), requires a special treatment due to the non-existence of the inner product (18) in this case. Had we considered the continuous set \( \eta \in [1/2, +\infty) \), we would have been led to involve the universal covering of SU(1,1).

The matrix elements of the operator \( U^\eta(g) \) with respect to the orthonormal basis (19) are given in terms of hypergeometric polynomials by
\[ U^\eta_{mn}(g) = \langle \phi_n | U^\eta(g) | \phi_m \rangle = \left( \frac{n! \Gamma(2\eta + n)}{m! \Gamma(2\eta + m)} \right)^{1/2} a^{-2\eta - n_c} \bar{\alpha}^{n_c} \times \frac{(\gamma(\beta, \bar{\beta}))^{n-n_c}}{(n_c - n_c)!} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \eta \beta \bar{\beta} - n_c \\ \beta \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2|z|^2 \end{array} \right), \]
\[ \text{where} \]
\[ \gamma(\beta, \bar{\beta}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\beta & n = n' \\ \beta & n = n' \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \max(n, n') \geq 0. \]

Taking into account the well-known relation between the hypergeometric functions and the Jacobi polynomials,
\[ P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \left( \frac{n + \mu}{n} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1 - x}{2} \right) \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n + \mu + 1; \mu + 1)_m}{m!} x^m, \]
and the parametrization (3), this expression is alternatively given in terms of Jacobi polynomials as
\[ U^\eta_{mn}(g) = \left( \frac{n! \Gamma(2\eta + n)}{m! \Gamma(2\eta + m)} \right)^{1/2} a^{-2\eta - n_c} \bar{\alpha}^{n_c} \times \left( \gamma(\beta, \bar{\beta}) \right)^{n-n_c} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \eta \beta \bar{\beta} - n_c \\ \beta \end{array} \right) \times \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2|z|^2 \end{array} \right), \]
\[ \text{where} \]
\[ \gamma(\beta, \bar{\beta}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\beta & n = n' \\ \beta & n = n' \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \max(n, n') \geq 0. \]

Note the diagonal elements,
\[ U^\eta_{nn}(g) = a^{-2\eta - n} \bar{\alpha}^{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \eta \beta \bar{\beta} - n_c \\ \beta \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2|z|^2 \end{array} \right), \]

For the elements \( g = h(\theta) \) in U(1), we have
\[ U^\eta_{mn}(h(\theta)) = \delta_{mn} e^{i(\eta + n)\theta}, \]
whereas for the elements \( g = p(z) \) in P,
\[ U^\eta_{mn}(p(z)) = \left( \frac{n! \Gamma(2\eta + n)}{m! \Gamma(2\eta + m)} \right)^{1/2} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \eta \beta \bar{\beta} - n_c \\ \beta \end{array} \right) \times \frac{1}{(n_c - n_c)!} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2|z|^2 \end{array} \right) \]
\[ = \left( \frac{n! \Gamma(2\eta + n)}{m! \Gamma(2\eta + m)} \right)^{1/2} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \eta \beta \bar{\beta} - n_c \\ \beta \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2|z|^2 \end{array} \right), \]
\[ \text{with} \quad z = |z|e^{i\phi}. \text{If} \quad n = n', \quad U^\eta_{nn}(p(z)) = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 - |z|^2 \end{array} \right)^{1/2} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2|z|^2 \end{array} \right) P_n^{(0, 2\eta - 1)}(1 - 2|z|^2). \]
B. Orthogonality relations and trace formulas

Since the functions (21) are matrix elements of operators \( U^\eta \) in the discrete series for \( \eta > 1/2 \), one of their fundamental properties is displayed by their orthogonality relations,

\[
\int_{\text{SU(1,1)}} \delta_{\text{had}}(g) U^\eta_{nm}(g) U^\eta_{nm'}(g) = d_\eta \delta_{nm}\delta_{nm'},
\]

(25)

where \( d_\eta = 2\pi/(2\eta - 1) \) is the (formal) dimension of the representation \( U^\eta \).

From the extension of the generating function of Jacobi polynomials, these expressions are singular for \( |\alpha| = 1 \).

Another trace formula will play an important role in the sequel. It involves the parity operator defined by

\[
\text{tr} \left( U^\eta(p(z)) \right) = \frac{1}{2|z|} (1 - |z|^2)^\eta(1 + |z|)^{1-2\eta},
\]

(27)

These expressions are singular for \( \eta = 1 \) and \( z = 0 \), respectively, since these values correspond to the identity operator.

Another trace formula will play an important role in the sequel. It involves the parity operator defined by

\[
\mathcal{P} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n |e_n\rangle \langle e_n|,
\]

(28)

\[
\text{tr} \left( \mathcal{P} U^\eta(g) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (3\alpha)^2 + ((1 - 3\alpha)^2\right)^{1-2\eta},
\]

and its restriction to \( p(z) \),

\[
\text{tr} \left( \mathcal{P} U^\eta(p(z)) \right) = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

(29)

For \( z = 0 \), this gives a trace formula for the parity operator

\[
\text{tr} \mathcal{P} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k = \frac{1}{2},
\]

which can be legitimated by adopting the Abel summation of divergent series.

Finally, the following formula (related to the existence of the so-called inversion in Cartan symmetric domains) will be used in this paper,

\[
\mathcal{P} U^\eta(p(z)) \mathcal{P} = U^\eta(p(-z)).
\]

(30)

C. Corresponding representation of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{su}(1,1) \)

The respective self-adjoint representatives of \( N_0, N_1, \) and \( N_2 \), defined in (3), under the UIR (20), defined generically asi \( \partial U^\eta(g(t))/\partial t|_{t=0} \), are the following differential operators on the Fock–Bargmann space \( \mathcal{F}_\eta \):

\[
N_0 \mapsto K_0 = z \frac{d}{dz} + \eta,
\]

\[
N_1 \mapsto K_1 = -\frac{i}{2} (1 - z^2) \frac{d}{dz} + i\eta z,
\]

\[
N_2 \mapsto K_2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 + z^2) \frac{d}{dz} + \eta z.
\]

(31)

They obey the commutation rules,
\[ [K_0, K_1] = i K_2, \quad [K_0, K_2] = -i K_1, \quad [K_1, K_2] = -i K_0. \]

The elements of the orthonormal basis (19) are eigenvectors of the compact generator \( K_0 \) with equally spaced eigenvalues,

\[ K_0 \ket{\alpha} = (n + \alpha) \ket{\alpha}. \]

The particular element \( \ket{\alpha} \) of the basis is a lowest weight state or “vacuum” for the representations \( U^n \). Indeed, introducing the two operators with their commutation relation,

\[ K_\pm = (1 \pm i K_0) K_1 = i K_1, \quad [K_+, K_-] = -2K_0. \]

As differential operators, they read as \( K_+ = z^2 d/dz + 2n\eta, \; K_- = d/dz \). Adjoint of each other, they are raising and lowering operators, respectively,

\[ K_+ \ket{\alpha} = \sqrt{(n + 1)(2\eta + n)} \ket{\alpha + 1}, \]

\[ K_- \ket{\alpha} = \sqrt{n(2\eta + n - 1)} \ket{\alpha - 1}, \]

and we check \( K_- \ket{\alpha} = 0 \). States \( \ket{\alpha} \) are themselves obtained by successive ladder actions on the lowest state as follows:

\[ \ket{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2\eta)}{\Gamma(2\eta + n) n!}} (K_0^n \ket{\alpha}). \]

The Casimir operator is defined as

\[ \mathcal{E} \overset{\text{def}}{=} K_1^2 + K_2^2 - K_0^2 = \frac{K_+ K_- + K_- K_+}{2} - K_0^2. \]

This operator is fixed at the value \( \mathcal{E} = -\eta(\eta - 1) I_d \) on the space \( \mathcal{B}_\eta \) that carries the UIR \( U^n \).

Finally, we note that, in agreement with the covariance properties (12) of their respective classical counterparts, we have

\[ U^n(g) K_0 U^n(g^{-1}) = (|a|^2 + |b|^2) K_0 - a\beta K_+ - \bar{a}\bar{b} K_-,
\]

\[ U^n(g) K_+ U^n(g^{-1}) = -2a\bar{b} K_0 + a^2 K_+ + \bar{b}^2 K_-,
\]

\[ U^n(g) K_- U^n(g^{-1}) = -2a\bar{b} K_0 + \bar{a}^2 K_- + b^2 K_+. \]

Equivalently, with the notations of (15),

\[ U^n(g) K_\pm U^n(g^{-1}) = \sum_b [\mathcal{U}(g)]_{a b} K_b(z), \quad a = 0, 1, 2 \text{ or } a = 0, \pm. \]

Like for the Weyl–Heisenberg group, a unitary “displacement” operator is built from the generators \( K_\pm \). It corresponds to map \( \mathcal{D} \ni z \mapsto \xi \in \mathbb{C} \) determined by

\[ U^n(p(z)) = e^{i K_- z} K_+ \equiv D_\xi (\xi) = \text{tanh}^{-1} |z| e^{i \arg z}, \]

which gives \( k_0(z) = \cosh 2|\xi| \) for the observable introduced in (10).

IV. COVARIANT INTEGRAL QUANTIZATIONS: GENERAL

A. Covariant integral quantization with UIR of a group

Here, we give a self-contained presentation of the method (for more details and applications, see Refs. 6–9). Let \( G \) be a locally compact Lie group with left Haar measure \( d_{\text{haar}}(g) \), and let \( g \mapsto U(g) \) be a UIR of \( G \) in a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \). Let \( M \) be a bounded operator on \( \mathcal{H} \) and let us introduce the family

\[ \{ M(g) := U(g) M U_g^\dagger, \quad g \in G \} \]

of the “displaced” version of \( M \) under the action of \( U(g) \)’s. Suppose that the operator,

\[ R := \int_G M(g) d_{\text{haar}}(g), \]

is defined in a weak sense. From the left invariance of \( d_{\text{haar}}(g) \), the operator \( R \) commutes with all operators \( U(g), \; g \in G \), and so, from Schur’s Lemma, we have the “resolution” of the unity up to a constant,

\[ R = c_M I, \]
with
\[ c_M = \int_G \text{tr}(\rho_M g) \, d_{\text{haar}}(g). \]

Here, the unit trace positive operator \( \rho_0 \) is chosen, if manageable, in order to make the integral convergent. Of course, it is possible that no such finite constant exists for the given \( M \), and at worst, it could not exist for any \( M \) (which is not the case for square integrable representations). Now, if \( c_M \) is finite and positive, the true resolution of the identity follows:
\[ \int_G M(g) \, dv(g) = I, \quad dv(g) := d_{\text{haar}}(g)/c_M. \]  

(33)

**B. Covariant integral quantization: With square integrable UIR**

Let us consider a UIR \( U \) for which \( M \) is an “admissible” operator, which means that
\[ c_M = \int_G d_{\text{haar}}(g) \text{tr}(\rho_M g) \]
is finite for a certain \( \rho_0 \), or more specifically, for square-integrable UIR \( U \) for which \( M = \rho \) is an admissible density operator,
\[ c(\rho) = \int_G d_{\text{haar}}(g) \| \rho U(g) \|_{HS}^2 < \infty, \]
where \( \|A\|_{HS} = \text{tr}(AA^\dagger) \) is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm. Then, the resolution of the identity is guaranteed with the family,
\[ M(g) = U(g)MU^\dagger(g). \]

This allows the **covariant** integral quantization of complex-valued functions on the group
\[ f \mapsto A_f = \int_G M(g) f(g) \, dv(g), \quad dv(g) = \frac{d_{\text{haar}}(g)}{c_M} \text{ or } \frac{d_{\text{haar}}(g)}{c(\rho)}. \]

Covariance means that
\[ U(g)A_f U^\dagger(g) = A_{U_{\text{reg}}(g) f}, \]
where
\[ (U_{\text{reg}}(g) f)(g') := f(g^{-1} g') \]
is the regular representation if \( f \in L^2(G, d_{\text{haar}}(g)) \). Moreover, we get a generalization of the Berezin or heat kernel transform on \( G \) (see, for instance, Ref. 27),
\[ f(g) \mapsto \tilde{f}(g) := \int_G \text{tr}(\rho(g) \rho(g')) f(g') \, dv(g'), \]
where the function \( \tilde{f} \) is the lower or **covariant symbol** of the operator \( A_f \).

**C. Covariant integral quantization through Cartan and Iwasawa decomposition: The general case**

1. **Cartan and Iwasawa decompositions: A reminder**

Let \( G \) be a connected semi-simple Lie group and \( K \) its maximal compact subgroup. Then, the homogeneous coset space,
\[ G/K = \{ g \, \in \, G \}, \quad \tilde{g} = \{ gk \, , \, k \in \, K \}, \]
is symmetric (i.e., it is a smooth manifold whose group of symmetries contains an inversion symmetry about every point; for more details, see Ref. 28), diffeomorphic to an Euclidean space, and the Cartan decomposition,
\[ G = PK \iff \forall g \in G \exists \, p \in P, \, k \in K, \]
such that \( g = pk = kp', \, p' = k^{-1}pk \) (34)
holds. The subset \( P \) is in one-to-one correspondence with the left coset \( G/K \). The decomposition (34) exponentiates the Lie algebra decomposition \( g = p + \mathfrak{p} \), such that
\([\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{t}] \subseteq \mathfrak{t}, \quad [\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}, \quad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subseteq \mathfrak{t}\).

The decomposition (34) derives from the Cartan involution \(\vartheta\) defined on the group level by
\[
\vartheta(p) = p^{-1} \quad \forall p \in P, \quad \vartheta(k) = k \quad \forall k \in K, \tag{35}
\]
and on the Lie algebra level (\(g = p + \mathfrak{t}\)) by
\[
\vartheta(p) = -p, \quad \vartheta(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}.
\]

A derived decomposition involves the abelian subgroup \(A = \exp \mathfrak{a}\), where \(\mathfrak{a}\) is a (Cartan) maximal abelian subalgebra in \(\mathfrak{p}\),
\[
G = KAK.
\]
When restricted to \(P\), it can be refined as
\[
P = \bigcup_{k \in K} \text{Ad} k \cdot A. \tag{36}
\]

Geometrically, the image of the subgroup \(A\) in \(G/K\) is a totally geodesic submanifold.

We also need to consider the Iwasawa decomposition of the group \(G\) which reads as
\[
G = NAK, \tag{37}
\]
where \(N\) is the subgroup generated by the nilpotent Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{n}\) given as the sum of the positive roots of \(\mathfrak{a}\).

Since \(G\) is unimodular, the Haar measure is left and right invariant. From the theory of homogeneous spaces, it can be factorized as
\[
d_{\text{haar}}(g) = d\mu_{G/K}(\hat{g}) \, d_{\text{haar}}(k),
\]
in such a way that
\[
\int_G f(g) \, d_{\text{haar}}(g) = \int_{G/K} d\mu_{G/K}(\hat{g}) \int_K d_{\text{haar}}(k) f(gk).
\]
This has to be compared with the integration on the group resulting from its Iwasawa decomposition (37),
\[
\int_G f(g) \, d_{\text{haar}}(g) = \int_N \int_A \int_K f(nak) \, d_{\text{haar}}(a) \, d_{\text{haar}}(n) \, d_{\text{haar}}(k).
\]

There results
\[
d\mu_{G/K}(\hat{g}) = d_{\text{haar}}(n) \, d_{\text{haar}}(a) \quad \text{for } g = nak, n \in N, a \in A, k \in K.
\]

Due to the one-to-one correspondence between \(P\) and \(G/K\), we will write as well
\[
d\mu_{G/K}(\hat{g}) = d\mu_{P}(p).
\]
The action \(g : \hat{g}_0 \mapsto g \cdot \hat{g}_0 = \overline{g}g_0\) of \(G\) on \(G/K\) is equivalently carried out through the left action on \(P\), \(g : p \mapsto p'\) defined by \(gp = p'k'\). Hence, the subgroup \(K\) is the stabilizer of a point in \(P\).

Based on the Iwasawa decomposition, let us denote by \(w = (v, \alpha)\) a set of coordinates for the manifold \(G/K\) or, equivalently, for \(P\), on which the left action of \(G\) on \(P\) is described as
\[
g : p \mapsto p' \iff p'(w) := p(g.w).
\]
This formula generalizes (7).

### 2. Integral quantization of Cartan symmetric space

Let \(g \mapsto U(g)\) be a UIR of \(G\). Suppose that we can generalize (28) by defining on the representation Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) of \(U\) a bounded self-adjoint operator \(\mathcal{P}\) obeying \(\mathcal{P}^2 = I\) and
\[
\mathcal{P} \, U(p) \, \mathcal{P} = U(p^{-1}), \quad \mathcal{P} \, U(k) \, \mathcal{P} = U(k),
\]
for any \(p \in P\) and \(k \in K\). Clearly, this “parity” operator can be viewed as the representative of the Cartan involution \(\vartheta\) (35), and its spectrum is \(\{\pm 1\}\). Furthermore, we suppose that its trace can be defined as finite, and not zero, as it has been done for (28). Let \(a \mapsto w(a)\) be a function on \(A\) which is left and right \(K\)-invariant (it can be complex-valued). Suppose that this function allows us to define
The integral quantization of functions (or distributions) results from (39),

\[ M^w := \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P)} \int_{p \in G/K} d\mu_P(p) \, w(a(p)) \, U(p) \, P \]  

(38)
as a bounded operator (in a weak sense). Here, \( a(p) \) is defined from the Cartan factorization (36). The latter means that for any \( p \in P \), there exist \( k \in K \) and \( a \in A \subset P \) such that \( p = ka(p)k^{-1} \). With the above assumptions, let us prove that (29) holds as well here, i.e., \( \text{tr}(U(p)P) \) does not depend on \( p \),

\[ \text{tr}(U(p)P) = \text{tr}(P). \]

By using the decomposition \( p = kak^{-1} \) from (36), we have

\[ \text{tr}(U(p)P) = \text{tr}(U(kak^{-1})P) = \text{tr}(U(k)U(a)U(k^{-1})P) = \text{tr}(U(k)U(a)P) = \text{tr}(U(a)P). \]

Now, for any element \( a \) in the abelian Cartan subalgebra \( A \), there exists \( a' \in A \) such that \( a'^2 = a^{-1} \). Hence, we have

\[ \text{tr}(U(a)P) = \text{tr}(U(a')U(a)P) = \text{tr}(U(a')U(a')P) = \text{tr}(P). \]

Choosing the weight \( w \) such that

\[ \int_p d\mu_P(p) \, w(a(p)) = 1, \]

there results that \( M^w \) is a unit trace operator, i.e., \( \text{tr} M^w = 1 \).

Introducing its displaced version under the action of \( U \),

\[ M^w(g) = U(g) M^w U^\dagger(g), \]

and supposing that the Haar measure on \( K \) is normalized, one derives from (33) the resolution of the identity

\[ \int_p \frac{d\mu_P(p)}{C^w} \, M^w(p) = I, \quad C^w = \int_p d\mu_P(p) \, \text{tr} \left( \rho_0 \, M^w(p) \right), \]

(39)

where the density operator \( \rho_0 \) has been suitably chosen.

Effectively, starting from (33) and from the integral representation of \( M^w \),

\[ I = \int_G \frac{dhaas(g)}{C^w} \, M^w(g) \]

\[ = \int_p \frac{d\mu_P(p)}{C^w} \int_K dhaas(k) \int_p d\mu_P(p') \, w(a(p')) \, U(pk) \, U(p') \, U^\dagger(pk) \]

\[ = \frac{d\mu_P(p)}{C^w} \int_K dhaas(k) \int_p d\mu_P(p') \, w(a(p')) \, U(pk) \, U(p') \, U^\dagger(k^{-1} p') \]

\[ = \frac{d\mu_P(p)}{C^w} \int_K dhaas(k) \int_p d\mu_P(k^{-1} p') \, w(a(k^{-1} p') \, U(p) \, U(p') \, U^\dagger(p') \]

\[ = \frac{d\mu_P(p)}{C^w} \int_p d\mu_P(p') \, w(a(p')) \, U(p) \, U(p') \, U^\dagger(p') \]

\[ = \int_p \frac{d\mu_P(p)}{C^w} \, M^w(p). \]

The integral quantization of functions (or distributions) results from (39),

\[ f(p) \mapsto A_f = \int_p \frac{d\mu_P(p)}{C^w} \, f(p) \, M^w(p). \]

V. SU(1, 1) QUANTIZER OPERATOR FROM WEIGHT ON THE UNIT DISK

A. Construction from weight

The above material is now implemented in the SU(1, 1) case. Let us pick an \( \eta > 1/2 \) and choose a function \([0, 1] \ni u \equiv |z|^2 \mapsto w(u) \) such that the operator,

\[ M^w^\eta := 2 \int_p \frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \, w(|z|^2) \, U^\eta(p(z)) \, P, \]

(40)
is bounded and traceclass with unit trace,

$$\operatorname{tr} M^{w,p} = 1.$$ 

Here, the parity operator $\mathcal{P}$ was defined in (28) and it was been introduced in the general construction (38) by convenience, as its importance will appear soon.

Supposing that we can invert infinite sum and integral, this condition together with (29) imply the following normalization of the weight function $w$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} w(|z|^2) = 1. \quad (41)$$

Thus, if $w$ is non-negative, it can be viewed as a probability distribution on the unit disk equipped with its $\text{SU}(1,1)$ invariant measure $\frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}$. From $(U^n(p(z)))^\dagger = U^n(p(-z))$ and the invariance of the measure and $w$ under the change $z \mapsto -z$, one infers that $M^{w,p}$ is symmetric and so self-adjoint. Due to the isotropy of the weight function, $M^{w,p}$ is diagonal in the basis $\{e_n\}$, with matrix elements deduced from (24) after the change $|z| \mapsto v = 1 - 2|z|^2$,

$$M^{w,p}_{n,m} = \delta_{nm} (-1)^n 2^{2\eta-2} \pi \int_{-1}^1 dv w\left(1 - \frac{v}{2}\right)(1 + v)^{\eta-2} P_n^{(2\eta-1)}(v). \quad (42)$$

B. Resolution of the identity

The unitarily transported versions

$$M^{w,p}(p(z)) = U^n(p(z) M^{w,p} U^n(p(-z))$$

of this operator are also bounded self-adjoint and are expected to resolve the unity with respect to a measure on $\mathcal{D}$ proportional to $d^2z/(1 - |z|^2)^2$,

$$I = \frac{1}{C^n} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} M^{w,p}(p(z)) \quad (43)$$

One then computes $C^n$ with the simplest $\rho_0 = |e_0\rangle\langle e_0|$,

$$C^n = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \langle e_0|M^{w,p}(p(z))|e_0\rangle = \sum_n M^{w,p}_{n,0} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} U_n^{w,p}(p(z)) U_0^{w,p}(p(z))$$

$$= \sum_n M^{w,p}_{n,0} \frac{\Gamma(2\eta + n)}{n! \Gamma(2\eta)} \int_{\mathcal{D}} d^2z (1 - |z|^2)^{2\eta-2} |z|^{2n}$$

$$= \sum_n M^{w,p}_{n,0} \frac{\Gamma(2\eta + n)}{n! \Gamma(2\eta)} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 r^{2n-1}(1 - r^2)^{2\eta-2} d\theta dr$$

$$= \sum_n M^{w,p}_{n,0} \frac{\Gamma(2\eta + n)}{n! \Gamma(2\eta)} 2\pi \int_0^1 x^{\eta-1}(1 - x)^{2\eta-2} dx$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2\eta - 1} \sum_n M^{w,p}_{n,0} = \frac{\pi}{2\eta - 1}. \quad \text{where we have used the expression} \ (22) \ \text{for} \ U_n^{w,p}(p(z)), \ \text{performed the variable changes} \ z = re^{i\theta} \ (\text{such that} \ dz^2 = r \ dr \ d\theta) \ \text{and next} \ r^2 = x, \ \text{taken in consideration the integral representation of the beta function},$$

$$\beta(a,b) = \int_0^1 x^{a-1}(1 - x)^{b-1} \ dx = \frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a + b)},$$

and applied the unit trace of $M^{w,p}$. Therefore, the resolution of the identity holds with the measure

$$I = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{d^2z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} M^{w,p}(p(z)). \quad (44)$$

C. Particular weight functions

Let us consider the particular family of positive weight functions,

$$w_s(u) := \frac{i - 1}{\pi} (1 - u)^s, \quad s > 1,$$
which satisfy (41). Using (A.2), we have for the matrix elements of $M^{w;\eta}$,

$$
M^{w;\eta}_{\alpha\nu} = (-1)^{\nu} \delta_{\alpha\nu} 2(s-1) \frac{\Gamma(\eta + s - 1) \Gamma(s - \eta)}{\Gamma(\eta + s + n) \Gamma(s - \eta - n)}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases} 
\delta_{\alpha\nu} 2(s-1) \frac{\Gamma(\eta + s - 1) \Gamma(s - n + 1)}{\Gamma(\eta + s + n) \Gamma(s - 1)} & \text{for } s \neq \eta + 1, \\
\delta_{\alpha\nu} & \text{for } s = \eta + 1. 
\end{cases}
$$

From these expressions, we see that the operator $M^{w;\eta}$ is a density operator if $1 < s \leq \eta + 1$. Positiveness is lost for $s > \eta + 1$. It is a finite rank $n$ operator for all $s = \eta + p, p = 1, 2, \ldots$. The limit case $s = \eta + 1$ in (45) corresponds to Perelomov SU(1, 1) coherent states (with $\tilde{z}$ instead of $z$),

$$
M^{w;\eta}_{m\eta}(p;\tilde{z}) = |z;\eta\rangle \langle z;\eta|,
$$

with

$$
|z;\eta\rangle = (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\eta)^n}{n!} z^n |e_n\rangle = U^n(p(\tilde{z}) |e_0\rangle.
$$

See also Chap. 8 in Ref. 29 for more details and specific properties.

It is interesting to determine the weight function $w_{m;\eta}$ yielding the projector $|e_m\rangle \langle e_m|$ through (40). By using the orthogonality relations satisfied by the Jacobi polynomials, we find

$$
|e_m\rangle \langle e_m| = 2 \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{1 - |z|^2} w_{m;\eta}(|z|^2) U^n(p(z)) P,
$$

$$
w_{m;\eta}(|z|^2) = (-1)^m \frac{\eta + m}{\pi} (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\eta}{2} + 1} \frac{P_{m}(1 - 2|z|^2)}{P_{m}(1 - |z|^2)}.
$$

Clearly, at the exception of $m = 0$, the weights $w_{m;\eta}$ are not non-negative.

It is naturally possible to extend the range of values of $s$ below the limit 1 at the price to violate integrability and positivity of the weight function. As a matter of fact, there exists a remarkable value of $s$, namely, $s = 1/2$, for which the suitably renormalized weight function

$$
w(u) = \frac{2\eta - 1}{4\pi} (1 - u)^{1/2}
$$

yields the identity operator,

$$
I = M^{w;\eta} = \frac{2\eta - 1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{1 - |z|^2} U^n(p(z)) P.
$$

From the above, we obtain the integral representation of unit trace twice the parity operator,

$$
2P = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{(1 - |z|^2)^{3/2}} U^n(p(z)).
$$

As an interesting consequence of (48) combined with the resolution of the identity (43) and

$$
U^n(p(z)) P U^n(p(-z)) = U^n(p(z))^2 P = U^n(p\left(\frac{2z}{1 + |z|^2}\right)) P,
$$

we obtain

$$
I = 2 \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{(1 - |z|^2)^{3/2}} U^n\left(p\left(\frac{2z}{1 + |z|^2}\right)\right) P.
$$

There results the (non-trivial) integral formula given in (A) for hypergeometric polynomials.

Remark. An open question is to establish an inverse formula allowing to rebuild the weight $w$ from some trace formula as it exists for the Weyl–Heisenberg or the affine case (see, for instance, Refs. 31 and 32). More precisely, given $w$, the problem is to determine an operator $\mathcal{I}$ such that the following reconstruction formula holds:

$$
w(|z|^2) = \text{tr}\left(M^{w;\eta} U^n(p(-z)\mathcal{I})\right).
VI. WEIGHTED SU(1, 1) INTEGRAL QUANTIZATIONS FOR THE UNIT DISK

We now start from the framework of Sec. V and establish general formulas for the quantization issued from a weight function \( w(u) \) yielding the operator \( M^{w(u)} \) in (40),

\[
    f \mapsto A^{w(u)}_f = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d^2 z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} f(z) M^{w(u)}(p(z)).
\]  

(49)

Since the operator \( A^{w(u)}_f \) acts on the Fock–Bargmann Hilbert space, the most straightforward way to characterize it is to compute its matrix elements with respect to the orthonormal basis (19). We know from (42) that the operator \( M^{w(u)} \) is diagonal. Hence, the general form of those matrix elements reads as

\[
    \left( A^{w(u)}_f \right)_{n'n} = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \sum_k M^{w(u)}_{kk} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d^2 z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} f(z) U^\eta_{nk}(p(z)) U^\eta_{n'k}(p(z))
\]

(50)

The integral in the lhs has the explicit form derived from (22),

\[
    \mathcal{I}^\eta_{k,n,n'}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d^2 z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} f(z) U^\eta_{nk}(p(z)) U^\eta_{n'k}(p(z))
\]

\[
    = \left( \frac{n_c! \Gamma(2\eta + n_c)}{n_c! \Gamma(2\eta + n_c - k)} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{n'\eta'! \Gamma(2\eta + n'\eta')}{n'\eta'! \Gamma(2\eta + n'\eta') - k} \right)^{1/2} (\text{sgn}(n - k)^{n-k} (\text{sgn}(n' - k)^{n' - k},
\]

\[
    \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} d^2 z f(z) (1 - |z|^2)^{-2\eta} |z|^{n-n_c+n'-n}\eta(z^n)^z_{n'}^{\eta'\eta}(1 - 2|z|^2)
\]

\[
    \times p^{(n-n_c, 2n-1)}_{n\eta}(1 - 2|z|^2) p^{(n'-n, 2n'-1)}_{n'\eta'}(1 - 2|z|^2),
\]

with

\[
    n_c = \left\{ \min (n, k), \ n'\eta = \min (n', k) \right\}.
\]

In the isotropic case \( f(z) = l(|z|^2) \), this integral simplifies to

\[
    \mathcal{I}^\eta_{k,n,n'}(f) = \pi \delta_{n'n'} 2^{1 - 2n-n_c-n_{\eta'}} n_c! \Gamma(2\eta + n_c)
\]

\[
    \times \left\{ \int_{-1}^{+1} dv (1 - v) (1 - v)^{n-n_c} (1 + v)^{2n-2} \left( p^{(n-n_c, 2n-1)}_{n\eta}(1 - v) \right)^2 \right\},
\]

where we have used the variable \( v = 1 - 2|z|^2 \). Actually, it is sufficient to consider the case \( n \leq k \), for which

\[
    \mathcal{I}^\eta_{k,n,n'}(f) = \pi \delta_{n'n'} 2^{1 - 2n-n_k-k} n! \Gamma(2\eta + n)
\]

\[
    \times \left\{ \int_{-1}^{+1} dv (1 - v) (1 - v)^{k-n} (1 + v)^{2n-2} \left( p^{(k-n, 2n-1)}_{n\eta}(1 - v) \right)^2 \right\},
\]

the case \( k < n \) keeping the same form thanks to the formula for Jacobi polynomials.\(^{26}\)

\[
    p^{(a, b)}_{n}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n + a + 1) (n - a)!}{\Gamma(n + b + 1) (n - b)!} \left( \frac{x - 1}{2} \right)^{a} p^{(a, b)}_{n}(x) \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \mathbb{N}.
\]  

(51)

Of course, for \( f = 1 = l \), we should recover the identity in (50), which implies the following value for the integral \( \mathcal{I}^\eta_{k,n,n'}(1) \) (which can be found in Ref. 30),

\[
    \mathcal{I}^\eta_{k,n,n'}(1) = \pi \delta_{n'n'} 2^{1 - 2n-n_k-k} n! \Gamma(2\eta + n)
\]

\[
    \times \left\{ \int_{-1}^{+1} dv (1 - v)^{k-n} (1 + v)^{2n-2} \left( p^{(k-n, 2n-1)}_{n\eta}(1 - v) \right)^2 \right\}
\]

\[
    = \frac{\pi}{2\eta - 1}.
\]
Another useful particular case is \( f(z) = \frac{1}{|z|^2} \). Then,
\[
\mathcal{M}_{k,n}^{\eta}(1 - |z|^2)^{-1} = f(1 + v).
\]

From the covariance (53) and from (15),
\[
\eta^a w = \int_{-1}^{1} dv (1 - v)^{k-n} (1 + v)^{2\eta - 3} \left( p_{n}^{k-n, 2\eta - 1}(v) \right)^2
\]
\[
= \delta_{n0} \frac{\pi}{2\eta - 1} \frac{1}{2\eta(\eta - 1)} [(k + \eta)(\eta + n) + \eta(\eta - 1)].
\]

This formula is derived from Eq. (A1).

By construction, the quantization map (49) is covariant with respect to the unitary action \( U^\eta \) of SU(1, 1),
\[
U^\eta(g_0) A^\eta_{f} U^\eta(g_0) = A^\eta_{U(g_0)f},
\]
where we recall that \((Ug)f(z) = f(U^{-1}z)\). Moreover, due to the self-adjointness of \( M^\eta(p(z)) \), we have the relation
\[
(A^\eta_{f})^* = A^\eta_{f^*}.
\]

The following important statement results from the covariance (53) and self-adjointness property (54).

**Proposition VI.1** Suppose that \( w \) and \( \eta > 1/2 \) such that the series
\[
\mathcal{M}^{\eta} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k M^{\eta}_{kk}
\]
converges in a certain sense. Then, the quantization (49) maps the basic observables \( k_a, a = 0, 1, 2 \), defined in (10), to the self-adjoint generators (31) up to a constant factor \( y_{w,\eta} \),
\[
A^\eta_{k_a} = y_{w,\eta} K_a, \quad a = 0, 1, 2, \quad \text{resp.} \quad a = 0, \pm 1,
\]
with
\[
y_{w,\eta} = \frac{1}{\eta - 1} \int \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{M}^{\eta}}.
\]

**Proof.** We have from (49),
\[
A_{k_a} = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int \frac{d^2 z}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} k_a(z) M^{\eta}(p(z)).
\]

From the covariance (53) and from (15),
\[
U^\eta(g) A^\eta_{k_a} U^\eta(g) = A^\eta_{U(g)k_a} = \sum_{b} [U(g)]_{ba} A^\eta_{k_b}, \quad \forall g \in \text{SU}(1, 1).
\]

This means that the operators \( A^\eta_{k_a} \) transform under the action of \( U^\eta(g) \) exactly like the operators \( K_a \). Thus, there exists a constant \( y_{w,\eta} \) depending on \( \eta \) and \( w \) such that
\[
A^\eta_{k_a} = y_{w,\eta} K_a.
\]

The constant is calculated by picking \( a = 0 \) and considering the lowest matrix elements via (52),
\[
y_{w,\eta}(K_0)_{00} = y_{w,\eta} \eta = \left( A^\eta_{k_0} \right)_{00} = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \sum_{k} M^{\eta}_{kk} \mathcal{M}^{\eta}_{k,k,0}(k_0)
\]
\[
= \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \sum_{k} M^{\eta}_{kk} \mathcal{M}^{\eta}_{k,k,0}(1 + \frac{1}{|z|^2}) - 1
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\eta - 1} [\eta + \mathcal{M}^{\eta}],
\]
we finally get (56).

Once it is proved for \( A^\eta_{k_0} \), it is also proved for \( A^\eta_{k_a} \) by using (57) with specific elements \( g \)'s mapping \( K_0 \) to \( K_a \).
Let us now consider a function $f$ of an operator. The phase space portrait is a probability distribution on the unit disk $D$.

We note that with the particular value $\eta = 2$, the quantization of the basic observables is exact. In the case of coherent states built from $|c_m\rangle$ and giving rise to (47), the constant $y_{w,\eta}$ is given by

$$y_{w,\eta} = \frac{\eta + m}{\eta(\eta - 1)}.$$ 

Another interesting case related to $w = w_1$ concerns the already mentioned limit value $s = 1/2$ for which $\mathcal{F}_{w^{1/2}} = 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k = -1/2$ (in Abel sense). Then,

$$y_{w^{1/2},\eta} = \frac{2\eta - 1}{2\eta(\eta - 1)},$$

and there is no real value of $\eta$ for which the quantization of the basic observables is exact.

Finally, the interesting functions to be quantized have the general form $f(\eta) = h(|z|^2)z^a$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, where $h$ is real-valued. Note that the quantization of the conjugate is straightforward, due to the relation (54). However, in view of the technicality of the calculations, we will not pursue in this way.

**VII. QUANTUM PHASE SPACE PORTRAITS**

Let us consider a weight function $w(|z|^2)$ yielding the symmetric unit trace operator $M^{w_{\eta}}$ through Eq. (40). The lower symbol or quantum phase space portrait of an operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is the function,

$$\hat{A}(z) := \text{tr} \left( A U_{\eta}^\dagger(p(z)) M^{w_{\eta}}(p(z)) \right) \Rightarrow \text{tr} \left( A M^{w_{\eta}}(p(z)) \right).$$

Let us now consider a function $f(z)$ and its quantum version $\hat{f}(z)$ built from a first weight function $w_1(|z|^2)$, used for the “analysis.” Its lower symbol associated with a second weight function $w_2(|z|^2)$, used for the “reconstruction” (terms borrowed from signal analysis terminology) reads as the map

$$f(z) \mapsto \hat{f}(z) \equiv \hat{A}^{w_{2/\eta}}(z) = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_\mathbb{D} \frac{d^2z'}{(1-|z'|^2)^2} f(z') \text{tr} \left( M^{w_{2/\eta}}(p(-z)p(z')) M^{w_{2/\eta}} \right).$$

Now, we have the SU(1,1) composition formula,

$$p(-z)p(z') = p(t) h(\theta), \quad \text{with } t = p(-z) \cdot z', \quad h(\theta) \in H.$$ 

Since $U^\eta(h(\theta))$ is diagonal, it commutes with $M^{w_{\eta}}$, and we obtain after the change of variable $z' \mapsto t$,

$$\hat{f}(z) = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_\mathbb{D} \frac{d^2t}{(1-|t|^2)^2} f(p(z) \cdot t) \text{tr} \left( M^{w_{\eta}}(p(t)) M^{w_{\eta}} \right).$$

Clearly, since for $f = 1$ the rhs is equal to 1, the map

$$t \mapsto \text{tr} \left( M^{w_{\eta}}(p(t)) M^{w_{\eta}} \right) = \text{tr} \left( U^\eta(p(t)) M^{w_{\eta}} M^{w_{\eta}} U_{\eta}^\dagger(p(t)) \right)$$

is a probability distribution on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ with respect to the measure $\frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \frac{d^2t}{(1-|t|^2)^2}$ if $M^{w_{\eta}}$ and $M^{w_{\eta}}$ are nonnegative, i.e., are density operators.

Let us just prove that the lower symbols of the three generators $K_0, K_1, K_2$, are proportional to their classical counterpart,

$$\hat{A}^{w_{\eta}}_{k_i}(z) = x^{w_{\eta}}_{k_i}\delta(x), \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$ 

This proof is similar to the one of Proposition VI.1. Let us apply the regular representation of SU(1,1) on both sides of Eq. (60),
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\[ \hat{f}(g^{-1} \cdot z) = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \frac{d^2 t}{(1 - |t|^2)^2} \, f(p(g^{-1} \cdot z) \cdot t) \, \text{tr} \, (M_{\eta}^{w_1}(p(t)) M_{\eta}^{w_2}). \]

We now apply (7),

\[ p(g^{-1} \cdot z) \cdot t = (g^{-1} \cdot p(z)) h \cdot t = g^{-1} \cdot (p(z) \cdot (h \cdot t)), \quad \text{with} \quad h \in U(1). \]

After changing \( h \cdot t \mapsto t \) and using the invariance or the measure and of the trace, we get

\[ \hat{f}(g^{-1} \cdot z) = \frac{2\eta - 1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \frac{d^2 t}{(1 - |t|^2)^2} \, f(g^{-1} \cdot (p(z) \cdot t)) \, \text{tr} \, (M_{\eta}^{w_1}(p(t)) M_{\eta}^{w_2}). \]

Hence, by particularizing to \( f = k_a, a = 0, \pm \), we check by linearity that their corresponding \( \hat{k}_a \) transform exactly in the same way as in (12), under the regular representation of SU(1, 1). This proves the proportionality relation (61). The constant is computed by using a similar trick under the regular representation of SU(1, 1). This proves the proportionality relation (61). The constant is computed by using a similar trick for the higher-dimensional anti-de Sitter groups, particularly SO(2, 3), since some of these groups might have physically relevant discrete series.

\[ f(z) \mapsto \hat{f}(z) = k_a(z). \]

**VIII. CONCLUSION**

Given a semi-simple group \( G \), we have generalized the covariant integral quantization of functions defined on the coset \( G/K \) by introducing a weight function combined with the parity operator associated with the Cartan involution. According to the choice of such weight functions, we obtain different quantizations. We have implemented this procedure in the case of the group SU(1, 1). Given an irreducible unitary representation \( U_\eta \), \( \eta > 1 \) in the discrete series of SU(1, 1), we have presented a family of covariant integral quantizations of functions defined on the coset \( G/K \). A physical interpretation is to consider SU(1, 1) as the kinematical group of the 1 + 1 AdS space-time and the unit disk as the phase space for the motion of a "massive" Wigner elementary system in AdS. In this example, each quantization is determined by an isotropic weight function on the disk or equivalently by a unit trace operator viewed as an "U_\eta-Fourier transform" of this weight. Perelomov coherent states quantizations are particular cases. Reversal of these quantizations under the form of semi-classical portraits of quantized versions of a classical object has been defined as local averaging of the latter, involving a second weight function. In this regard, a non-trivial question to be considered is to determine a pair \((w_1, w_2)\) of weight functions for which the reversal is exact in the Wigner–Weyl sense, i.e., the following holds:

\[ f(z) \mapsto \hat{f}(z) = k_a(z). \]

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This research was supported in part by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain under Grant No. MTM2014-57129-C2-1-P and the Junta de Castilla y León (Grant Nos. VA137G18 and BU229P18). J.P.G. is also indebted to the University of Valladolid for its hospitality.
APPENDIX: USEFUL INTEGRALS WITH JACobi POLYNOMIALS AND OTHERS

Orthogonality

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} dv (1 - v)^{\alpha} (1 + v)^{\beta} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(v) \]

\[ = \delta_{n0} \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{\alpha + \beta + 2n + 1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1) \Gamma(\beta + n + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)} , \quad \alpha > -1 , \beta > -1. \]

Others

From Gradshteyn–Ryzhik 7.391 in Ref. 30,

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} dv (1 - v)^{\alpha} (1 + v)^{\beta-2} \left( P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(v) \right)^{2} \]

\[ = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{\beta(\beta+1)(\beta-1)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1) \Gamma(\beta + n + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)} \left[ (\beta + 1)(\alpha + \beta) + 2(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)n \right], \]

for \( \alpha > -1 \) and \( \beta > 1 \).

A new formula more

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} dx (1 - x)^{\alpha} (1 + x)^{\beta} P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \]

\[ = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}}{n! \Gamma(\rho + \sigma + 2) \Gamma(\mu + 1)} \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + 1) \Gamma(n + 1 + \mu)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1) \Gamma(n + \mu + 1) \Gamma(\mu + 1)} \eta F_{1}(-n, \mu + n + 1, \rho + 1; \mu + 1, \rho + \sigma + 2; 1), \]

with \( \text{Re} \rho > -1, \text{Re} \sigma > -1 \).

A new integral for hypergeometric polynomials

\[ 2 (2\eta - 1) \int_{0}^{1} du (1 - u)^{2 \eta - 2} (1 + u)^{-2 \eta} F_{1}(1 - \eta; 1; \frac{4u}{1 + u}) = (-1)^{\eta}. \]

Two integral forms for beta function

\[ \beta(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x + y)} = \int_{0}^{1} dt t^{x-1} (1 - t)^{y-1} \]

\[ = 2^{1-x-y} \int_{0}^{1} dt (1 - t)^{x-1} (1 + t)^{y-1}. \]
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